

RED HILL VALLEY PARKWAY INQUIRY

AFFIDAVIT OF ANDRO DELOS REYES (affirmed on April 9, 2022)

I, **ANDRO DELOS REYES**, of the City of Scarborough, in the Province of Ontario,
MAKE OATH AND SAY:

1. The evidence provided in this affidavit relates to the events and documents described in RHVPI Overview Document #3, at paragraph 127.
2. On August 21, 2007, at 3:15 PM, I received an email from Ludomir Uzarowski attaching 32 asphalt test results¹ for samples related to the Red Hill Valley Parkway (GOL0003093; GOL0003092; GOL0001676). I received two more emails from Dr. Uzarowski, at 3:45 and 3:46 PM, in which Dr. Uzarowski observed that “9 out of 28 SMA samples are rejectable” and identified errors with some SP12.5 FC2 samples being mislabelled as SMA samples (GOL0002030).
3. To the best of my recollection, I believe that the Whitby lab, which processed the 32 samples received on August 21, 2007, made a typing error that caused the results for 4 SP12.5 FC2 samples to be incorrectly labelled as SMA samples. During the course of this Inquiry, I have reviewed the 32 asphalt test results and have identified the following

¹ GOL0003094, GOL0003095, GOL0003096, GOL0003097, GOL0003098, GOL0003099, GOL0003100, GOL0003101, GOL0003102, GOL0003103, GOL0003104, GOL0003105, GOL0003106, GOL0003107, GOL0003108, GOL0003109, GOL0003110, GOL0003111, GOL0003112, GOL0003113, GOL0003114, GOL0003115, GOL0003116, GOL0003117, GOL0003118, GOL0003119, GOL0003120, GOL0003121, GOL0003122, GOL0003123, GOL0003124, GOL0003125

4 results as having been mislabelled: GOL0003113, GOL0003114, GOL0003115, GOL0003116. I do not recall which 9 samples (of 28) Dr Uzarowski was referring to in his 3:45 PM email (GOL0002030).

4. I do not have a specific recollection as to what I did after I received the asphalt test results and Dr. Uzarowski's emails discussing the results on August 21, 2007. I believe that I would have looked into the mislabelling discrepancies identified by Dr. Uzarowski. Based on my practices, I expect that I would have addressed the issues identified by Dr. Uzarowski in his 3:45 and 3:56 PM emails.

5. Golder's typical practice is to provide a summary of results, including any issues or shortcomings in the results, to the client and the contract administrator, which in this case were the City of Hamilton and Philips Engineering, respectively. It is my understanding that Golder has not been able to locate a summary of the results pertaining to the RHVP project.

6. I am not aware of whether there was any resolution involving payment as between Golder, the City of Hamilton (the client), and/or Philips Engineering (the contract administrator). I had no involvement in a payment resolution, if such a resolution transpired, because this would have been above my level in my role as the Senior Site Inspector on the RHVP project.

7. I make this affidavit for use in the Red Hill Valley Parkway Inquiry.

Affirmed remotely by Andro Delos Reyes of the City of Scarborough, in the Province of Ontario, before me in the City of Toronto in the Province of Ontario, this 9th day of April, 2022, in accordance with O. Reg. 431/20, Administering Oath or Declaration Remotely



Andro Delos Reyes



Commissioner for Taking Affidavits
Fabiola Bassong